



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

MAY 04 2006

Neil P. Reiff, Esq.
Sandler, Reiff & Young, P.C.
50 "E" Street, SE
Suite 300
Washington, DC 20003

RE: MUR 5564 [REDACTED]
Alaska Democratic Party
and Marge Kaiser, in her
official capacity as treasurer

Dear Mr. Reiff:

On October 19 and 27, 2004, the Federal Election Commission notified the Alaska Democratic Party and its treasurer, your clients, of complaints alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. Copies of the complaints were forwarded to the Alaska Democratic Party at that time.

Upon further review of the allegations contained in the complaints, and information provided by you, the Commission, on April 3, 2006, in MUR 5564, found reason to believe that the Alaska Democratic Party and Marge Kaiser, in her official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a(a)(2)(A), 441a(d), 441a(f) and 434(b). [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Factual and Legal Analysis, which formed a basis for the Commission's findings, is attached for your information.

You may submit any factual or legal materials that you believe are relevant to the Commission's consideration of these matters. Statements should be submitted under oath. All responses to the enclosed Order to Answer Questions and Subpoena to Produce Documents must be submitted to the General Counsel's Office within 30 days of your receipt of this letter. Any additional materials or statements you wish to submit should accompany the response to the order and subpoena. In the absence of additional information, the Commission may find probable cause to believe that a violation has occurred and proceed with conciliation.

[REDACTED]

28044191204

If you are interested in pursuing pre-probable cause conciliation, you should so request in writing. See 11 C.F.R. § 111.18(d). Upon receipt of the request, the Office of the General Counsel will make recommendations to the Commission either proposing an agreement in settlement of the matters or recommending declining that pre-probable cause conciliation be pursued. The Office of the General Counsel may recommend that pre-probable cause conciliation not be entered into at this time so that it may complete its investigation of these matters. Further, the Commission will not entertain requests for pre-probable cause conciliation after briefs on probable cause have been mailed to the respondent.

Requests for extensions of time will not be routinely granted. Requests must be made in writing at least five days prior to the due date of the response and specific good cause must be demonstrated. In addition, the Office of the General Counsel ordinarily will not give extensions beyond 20 days.

These matters will remain confidential in accordance with 2 U.S.C. §§ 437g(a)(4)(B) and 437g(a)(12)(A), unless you notify the Commission in writing that you wish the investigation to be made public.

If you have any questions, please contact Thomas J. Andersen or Christine C. Gallagher, the attorneys assigned to these matters, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,



Michael E. Toner
Chairman

Enclosures



Factual and Legal Analysis

28044191205

**FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS**

RESPONDENTS: Alaska Democratic Party and Marge Kaiser,
in her official capacity as treasurer

MUR 5564

I. BACKGROUND

These matters were generated based on complaints filed with the Federal Election Commission by Wiley Brooks (MUR 5564) See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1). matters involve allegations against the Alaska Democratic Party ("ADP") in connection with the U.S. Senate race in Alaska in 2004. The complaint in MUR 5564 alleges that substantial transfers by the Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee ("DSCC") to ADP were used to support the candidacy of Tony Knowles and exceeded the coordinated expenditure limits set forth in the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), resulting in excessive in-kind contributions from ADP to Tony Knowles for U.S. Senate ("Knowles Committee").

Based on the facts presented in the complaint, the responses, as well as other available information, there is reason to believe that ADP violated the Act in MUR 5564 in connection with certain expenditures made by ADP in 2004.

II. FACTUAL & LEGAL ANALYSIS

A. MUR 5564

1. Facts

ADP made significant disbursements in 2004 on what it described as a "field program," which included the opening of regional offices in several communities across Alaska, as well as the hiring of numerous "summer interns." In the months leading up to the 2004 general election, these paid staffers appear to have conducted various activities out of the regional offices, such as canvassing neighborhoods promoting Tony Knowles' 2004 campaign for U.S. Senate. ADP reported a portion of program expenses as "section 441a(d)" expenditures and also received monthly reimbursements from the Knowles Committee in connection with the program. The central issue appears to be whether such amounts sufficiently covered all of the program activities undertaken by ADP on behalf of Knowles; if not, then it appears that ADP made excessive in-kind contributions to the Knowles Committee by exceeding its coordinated expenditure limit.

Complainant alleges in MUR 5564 that DSCC transferred \$1.7 million to ADP and that ADP used the money to support Knowles' candidacy, resulting in "illegal in-kind donations." Complaint at 1. Complainant acknowledges that DSCC and ADP could make coordinated expenditures on behalf of the Knowles Committee under 2 U.S.C. § 441a(d), but that such spending crossed the limits for national and state parties.¹ The transferred money was allegedly spent by ADP in coordination with the Knowles Committee to open the field offices and to pay canvassers who operated as Knowles campaign workers.

¹ The combined limit was \$149,240 for 2004. See *2004 Coordinated Party Expenditure Limits*, The (FEC) Record, 15-16 (March 2004).

28044191207

1 The complaint attached an e-mail from the treasurer for the Knowles Committee allegedly
2 sent to Knowles "supporters." *Id.* at 2. The e-mail, dated April 16, 2004, included the subject line
3 "housing needed" along with the following text:

4 Hello friends,

5

6 We wanted to let you all know that [ADP] is organizing a summer intern program
7 here in Anchorage (and across the state). They are hiring interns to hit the streets
8 and go door-to-door to spread Tony's message and talk to voters about why they
9 should vote for him.

10 We are asking the interns (either college students or high school
11 upperclassmen) to commit to at least 6 weeks over the summer, for five hours a
12 day either 5 or 7 days a week, with the 5 hours being in the afternoon during the
13 week and during the day on the weekend. Depending on if they commit to 5 or 7
14 days, we will pay them accordingly. So if you know any interested students,
15 please send them our way. E-mail . . . oliver@alaskademocrats.org.

16 Second, although most of these interns will be from Alaska, we have had some
17 interest from students from the Outside. Since we aren't paying them much and
18 they won't be here for very long, we need places for them to live for 6-8 weeks.
19 If anyone has a spare bed they can use to house one of these committed young
20 Democrats' [sic] please also let Oliver know.²

21
22 Complaint, Exhibit C.

23 The complaint also included an ADP flyer allegedly "being distributed on the campus of
24 the University of Alaska Anchorage on September 2, 2004."³ The flyer stated,

25 Go door to door to elect Tony Knowles! . . . [ADP] is looking for outgoing and
26 friendly people who can talk to voters at their doors about the upcoming Senate
27 election. To be eligible, you must be at least 16 years of age, a supporter of Tony
28 Knowles and available to work at least 6 hours a week. You will be paid \$10 per
29 hour. If you are interested, call Deven or Megan at 632-3214.⁴

² ADP reported biweekly "Payroll" disbursements to an Oliver Gottfried from March through November 2004.

³ A press account referencing the flyer stated it "was posted on college campuses" by ADP. Sam Bishop, *Reports show differing party help to candidates*, FAIRBANKS (Alaska) DAILY NEWS-MINER (Oct. 9, 2004).

⁴ ADP reported "Payroll" disbursements to a Deven Nelson from April through November 2004, and to a Megan Huth from July through November 2004. The complaint also included a document suggesting that Megan Huth sent a Knowles press release on September 28, 2004, using ADP's e-mail address. The release announces Knowles' debate schedule and states "Paid for by Tony Knowles For Senate." Complaint, Exhibit N. ADP responds that it disseminated the press release because "it already had established an effective email distribution list for local and (Footnote continues on following page)

28044191208

1
2 Complaint, Exhibit F. Complainant asserts that "ADP is paying payroll of at least 104 different
3 people [in 2004] including Jim Messina, who was reported in the press to be Mr. Knowles'
4 campaign manager"⁵ *Id.* at 1. Around the time of the November 2004 general election, ADP
5 was reporting "payroll" disbursements to over 400 individuals, including Messina. As discussed
6 *infra*, the Knowles Committee reported payments to Messina during the same period.

7 Complainant also submitted a copy of a web page from the Knowles Committee website
8 containing a July 23, 2004 New York Times article. Complaint, Exhibit D. The article describes
9 an encounter by "Campaign Worker" Max Hensley with a grizzly bear "[w]hile out rounding up
10 potential supporters for the Senate candidate, Tony Knowles"⁶ Complainant claims that
11 Hensley's salary was being paid by ADP and that the Knowles Committee reported no payments to
12 him.⁷ Complainant alleges that ADP failed to report the salaries of Hensley and other field
13 workers as in-kind contributions and that disclosure reports filed by the Knowles Committee do
14 not reflect the receipt of such in-kind contributions.

national media outlets for its own internal use," and that the e-mail was a non-public communication that did not "add any incremental cost." ADP Response at 4.

⁵ A search of news databases uncovered articles identifying Messina as "manager" or "director" of the Knowles campaign. See, e.g., Nicole Duran, *Knowles Taps Dorgan Chief For His Race*, ROLL CALL (June 8, 2004); *Don't Make a Messina of Things*, THE HOTLINE (American Political Network), Vol. 10, No. 9 (June 8, 2004); *Senate 2004 Alaska: Lisa, Lisa!*, THE HOTLINE (American Political Network), Vol. 10, No. 9 (Aug. 25, 2004).

⁶ A July 23, 2004 article in The Hotline covering Hensley's bear encounter referred to him (Hensley) as a "Tony Knowles summer canvasser." *People When Animals Attack: Gives New Meaning to "Grin and Bear It"*, THE HOTLINE (American Political Network), Vol. 10, No. 9 (July 23, 2004).

⁷ ADP reported Schedule B "Payroll" disbursements to Hensley of \$492, \$394 and \$334 on July 15, July 30, and August 13, 2004, respectively. It also reported Schedule F "Payroll" disbursements to Hensley of \$98.47 and \$83.60 on July 30 and August 13, 2004, respectively. Although the Knowles Committee does not appear to have reported any disbursements to Hensley, as discussed *infra*, it reported large monthly disbursements to ADP for such items as "Reimbursement for staff salaries."

28044191209

1 A review of news databases indicates that other individuals on ADP's payroll were
2 reportedly engaging in campaign activity on behalf of Knowles. For example, a July 2004 news
3 account describes the daily activities of two "Knowles workers" paid by ADP:⁸

4 At the Knowles campaign, the workers are more conventionally used as door-to-
5 door canvassers. "Shoe leather is essential for any campaign," Knowles
6 spokesman Bob King said. . . .

7 The Knowles workers start their day at campaign headquarters, where they
8 receive walking assignments for the day. Then they go out and spend the day
9 canvassing at Anchorage doorsteps.

10 In South Anchorage, a middle-aged woman peers through her screen door at
11 [Marissa] Coughlin, who delivers her endorsement of Knowles in a series of
12 gulps, starts and factoids. . . .

13 "Are you a supporter of Governor Knowles?" asked Coughlin

14
15 Down the block, Coughlin's canvassing partner, [Caitlin] Legacki, approached
16 another door. A man appeared at the upstairs window, and Legacki identified
17 herself as a Knowles campaign worker.

18
19 Following a brief conversation with Legacki, [the man's wife] pledged to support
20 Knowles in the election.⁹

21
22 A graphic for the article states that Coughlin and three other ADP workers "go
23 over walk routes as they canvass a neighborhood with Tony Knowles' campaign
24 literature."¹⁰ In another article, Legacki reportedly "stated that she [was] one of 31
25 canvassers employed by [ADP] to go door-to-door promoting Knowles."¹¹

⁸ ADP's disclosure reports show biweekly "Payroll" disbursements in the summer and fall of 2004 to persons identified in the article. Although the Knowles Committee does not appear to have reported any disbursements to these individuals, as discussed *infra*, it reported large monthly disbursements to ADP for such items as "Reimbursement for staff salaries."

⁹ Kevin Boots, *Campaign Kids; Young Workers Build Signs, Knock on Doors for Murkowski, Knowles*, ANCHORAGE DAILY (July 16, 2004).

¹⁰ *Id.*

¹¹ Liz Ruskin, *Candidates Battle Over 'Outside Activists'*, ANCHORAGE DAILY (June 23, 2004).

28044191210

1 Complainant avers that the Knowles Committee website contains further information
2 demonstrating coordination of expenditures between ADP and the Committee. The complaint
3 included a copy of a Knowles campaign web page "from April of 2004" that states:

4 The Alaska Democratic Party opened regional offices in Anchorage, Fairbanks,
5 Juneau, Wasilla, and Soldotna in the past few weeks and more field offices will
6 soon open in Barrow, Bethel, Nome, Kotzebue, Kodiak, Valdez, Sitka and
7 Ketchikan. Local supporters will be joined by experienced field staffers in
8 conducting voter registration and outreach to build grassroots support for
9 Knowles [sic] candidacy heading up to election-day.

10
11 Complaint, Exhibit B (emphasis in original). An archived web page from the Knowles website
12 from November 2004 lists sixteen operational ADP "Coordinated Campaign Field Offices,"
13 including most of the office locations listed above as well as offices in Kenai, Eagle River, Homer
14 and Seward.¹²

15 The Knowles Committee website refers to the ADP offices as "Knowles Offices" and
16 includes links for each of the listed offices, advising the viewer, "To contact an office in your area,
17 please click on one of these regional offices run by the Alaska Democratic Party."¹³ The web
18 pages for these offices contain contact information (e.g., individuals to contact at each office,
19 office addresses and phone numbers) and various references to Knowles' candidacy; there are no
20 references to other candidates. For example, the web page for the "Kenai Office" states, "We are
21 here to talk to people on the Peninsula about Tony Knowles and his plan to put Alaska first in the
22 U.S. Senate. Stop by our office anytime to learn more about Tony or to find out how you can help

¹² See <http://web.archive.org/web/20050712114705/http://www.tonyknowles.com/rural_offices.html?PHPSESSID=ce8bd9bbb0382967f6a7425af04094bc>. The "Kenai Office" is located in Soldotna, Alaska; it may be the same office called the "Soldotna" office in Exhibit B of the Complaint. Also, the "Anchorage Office" listed in the website has a different address and phone number than office listed as ADP headquarters on ADP's website in 2004. See, e.g., <<http://web.archive.org/web/20040205201033/alaskademocrats.org/contact.html>>.

¹³ See <http://web.archive.org/web/20050719055755/http://www.tonyknowles.com/office_locations.html?PHPSESSID=ce8bd9bbb0382967f6a7425af04094bc>.

28044191211

1 get Tony elected."¹⁴ A photograph of the office posted on the web page shows the outside window
2 covered with "Knowles for Senate" posters; no other candidates are listed. ADP's website from
3 the same time period does not reference any of these regional party offices; it included information
4 only for its Anchorage headquarters.¹⁵

5 It appears that all of the regional offices may have shut down shortly after the
6 November 2004 election. ADP's disclosure reports do not appear to show any rent, utilities or
7 other costs related to these offices after 2004; in addition, it appears that, within one month
8 following the election, the individuals listed as office contacts were no longer employed by ADP.¹⁶

9 ADP admits that it solicited students and opened regional offices in 2004 in an effort to
10 elect Knowles, but claims the "field program" benefited the entire Democratic ticket and was not
11 carried out exclusively for Knowles' benefit. ADP Response at 2. ADP describes the field
12 program as follows:

13 The overwhelming majority of the activities undertaken by over 150 field
14 organizers were, in fact, 1) door-to-door voter registration, voter identification, and
15 material distribution; 2) volunteer recruitment on behalf of the party, including
16 recruiting for precinct captains and election-day poll watchers; 3) phone voter
17 identification programs and persuasion calls; 4) encouraging voters to vote by
18 absentee ballot [both door-to-door and over the phone]; and 5) meeting with
19 legislative candidates/campaigns and party officials to enlist their participation in
20 all of the aforementioned activities.

21
22 ADP Response at 3-4.

23 Early in the election cycle, based on a "good faith estimate," ADP decided to allocate 20%
24 of field program expenses to the Knowles campaign. ADP Response at 2. ADP claims the other

¹⁴ See <http://web.archive.org/web/20050719055755/http://www.tonyknowles.com/office_locations.html?office=Kenai&PHPSESSID=ce8bd9bbb0382967f6a7425af04094bc>.

¹⁵ See <<http://web.archive.org/web/20041013003409/http://www.alaskademocrats.org>>.

¹⁶ None of the regional offices are currently listed in Directory Assistance.

28044191212

28044191213

1 80% of staff time was spent undertaking "generic activity." ADP Response at 2. ADP's
2 Executive Director states in a declaration that ADP allocated "20% of all aspects of the field
3 program, including payroll, rent, utilities, phone bills, and other office operating expenses."
4 Declaration of Bridget T. Gallagher, dated Dec. 8, 2004, at ¶ 4. ADP allocated "a portion of each
5 month's costs . . . of its field program to either its 441a(d) authority" or was "timely reimbursed for
6 an applicable portion" by the Knowles Committee.¹⁷ *Id.* at ¶ 5. ADP's Executive Director claims
7 that she "developed and oversaw the ADP field operation," that no field workers were "supervised
8 directly" by the Knowles campaign, and that ADP ultimately allocated \$473,683.63 either to its
9 coordinated expenditure limit or as reimbursements received by ADP from the Knowles campaign.
10 *Id.* at ¶¶ 2, 5-6. ADP did not provide any breakdown explaining how it arrived at that figure;
11 however, ADP's 2004 disclosure reports show \$134,161 in total coordinated expenditures on
12 behalf of Knowles from April through September 2004, and an additional \$340,264 in
13 reimbursements from the Knowles Committee from April through November 2004, for such items
14 as "staff salaries" and "office rent."¹⁸ The sum of these two figures, \$474,425, is close to the
15 allocated amount of 20% claimed by ADP's Executive Director.

¹⁷ ADP appended the following statement to its May, August, September and Pre-General monthly reports for 2004:

The monies received by the Alaska Democratic Party from the Knowles for Senate campaign reflect reimbursement for staff salaries and other office expenses for a portion of the ADP's field program a portion of which has been determined to be directly on behalf of and therefore allocable to Tony Knowles for Senate. See 11 C.F.R. / 106.1. The amount allocated to the Knowles for Senate campaign reflects a determined percentage of staff salaries as well as other office expenses such as rent and office supplies for the portion of the field staff's time spent working directly for the Knowles campaign. The Knowles campaign intends to pay for a portion of these activities on a regular basis. The amounts spent over and above the amount each month by the ADP for these activities will be disclosed as a coordinated expenditure on behalf of the Knowles for Senate campaign pursuant to 2 U.S.C. / 441a(d) by the ADP on Line 25 of its monthly reports.

¹⁸ The following data show ADP's receipts from the Knowles Committee:

Amount	Reported by Knowles	Description by Knowles	Reported by ADP	Description by ADP
12,500.00	04/08/04	Reimbursement for staff salaries	04/07/04	reim. for staff salary

(Footnote continues on following page)

Respondent asserts that since it allocated 20% of all of the costs of its field program as a coordinated expenditure or was timely reimbursed by the Knowles Committee for that portion of the field program that was not allocated to the coordinated expenditure limit, then it was appropriate to refer to ADP's offices as "Knowles offices." ADP Response at 2.

ADP contends that a significant portion of the field program was comprised of a "canvass component that employed part-time staff whose *sole* activity was going door to door in urban communities to register voters, sign them up for absentee ballots, and/or identify them." ADP Response at 4 (emphasis in original). "Any voter identification information gleaned from these activities was the sole property of the ADP and was not provided to the Knowles campaign for its own use." *Id.* ADP asserts that the "phone activities undertaken by the field employees" were the "only public communication(s) in which these employees engaged in" and "reflected a small percentage of their time on any given day and, in many cases, were generic in nature." *Id.* ADP claims that its decision to allocate 20% of field program costs was "an overly conservative reading of the current campaign finance laws" because "the only activity that would require any allocation to the Knowles campaign would be that portion of the phone calls that persuaded voters to vote for or against the Knowles campaign." *Id.*

12,500.00	05/01/04	Reimbursement for staff salaries	05/03/04	reim. for staff salary
12,500.00	06/01/04	Reim. for staff salaries	06/07/04	reim. for staff salary
25,000.00	07/01/04	Reimbursement for staff salaries	07/07/04	reimb. for staff salaries
35,202.00	08/07/04	Reimburse shared costs	08/11/04	share of salary expenses
20,030.18	09/01/04	Reimburse percent salaries office costs	09/09/04	staff sal./travel/polling
44,750.00	10/13/04	Percent allocated directly to campaign	10/13/04	staff salary and office rent
30,000.00	11/01/04	Reimbursement for Salary & shared Costs	11/02/04	pmt. for rent/salaries
145,000.00	11/05/04	Reimburse share of operating costs	11/09/04	pmt. for salary and rent
2,782.20	11/22/04	Reimburse share of operating costs	11/24/04	GO TV salaries

\$340,264.38 Total

1 2. Analysis

2 Pursuant to the party expenditure limits set forth at 2 U.S.C. § 441a(d), ADP's maximum
3 general election coordinated expenditure limit on behalf of the U.S. Senate candidacy of
4 Tony Knowles was \$74,620.¹⁹ Based on its disclosure reports, ADP appears to have reached that
5 limit on or around July 30, 2004. During the period from July 30 through September 30, 2004,
6 ADP reported an additional \$59,541 in coordinated expenditures on behalf of Knowles. Pursuant
7 to 11 C.F.R. § 109.33(a), ADP could have made additional coordinated expenditures as long as the
8 Democratic National Committee ("DNC") properly assigned it some portion of DNC's own
9 coordinated expenditure limit of \$74,620. However, since all the ADP filings at issue specifically
10 indicate that ADP had not "been designated to make coordinated expenditures by a political party
11 committee," and the response does not state otherwise, it appears that ADP exceeded its
12 coordinated expenditure limit by \$59,541.

13 ADP reported \$1,713 in general election contributions to the Knowles Committee, \$3,287
14 short of its \$5,000 limit. See 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(2)(A). Accordingly, based solely on ADP's
15 disclosure reports, it may have made \$56,254 (\$59,541 - \$3,287) in excessive in-kind contributions
16 in the form of coordinated expenditures on behalf of the Knowles Committee in connection with
17 the 2004 field program.

18 Although ADP does not provide a total cost figure for its field program, based on its claim
19 that the Knowles Committee's share was 20% or \$473,683.63, total program costs would have
20 amounted to \$2,368,418. The key issue concerning the allegations involving the field program is

¹⁹ See 11 C.F.R. § 109.32(b); The (FEC) Record, 15-16 (March 2004).

28044191215

whether ADP's 20% figure (or \$473,683.63) represents an accurate allocation of the Knowles Committee's share of costs.

The regulations provide that expenditures made on behalf of federal candidates shall be attributed "according to the benefit reasonably expected to be derived." 11 C.F.R. § 106.1(a)(1). For example, in the case of a phone bank, "the attribution shall be determined by the number of questions or statements devoted to each candidate as compared to the total number of questions or statements devoted to all candidates."²⁰ *Id.* Expenditures for rent, personnel, voter registration and get-out-the-vote drives "need not be attributed to individual candidates, unless these expenditures are made on behalf of a clearly identified candidate, and the expenditure can be directly attributed to that candidate." 11 C.F.R. § 106.1(c)(1).

The available information suggests that more than 20% of ADP's field program disbursements may have constituted expenditures that were directly attributable to Knowles and should have been allocated accordingly. First, perhaps most tellingly, it would seem unlikely that Jim Messina, who appears to have served as Knowles' campaign manager, would have been spending more of his time working for ADP than the Knowles Committee; yet during much of the relevant time the majority of his salary was being paid for by ADP.²¹

²⁰ If a phone bank communication referring to a federal candidate included "another reference that generically refers to other candidates of the Federal candidate's party without clearly identifying them," then fifty percent of the disbursement is attributed to the candidate, provided that certain other conditions are met. 11 C.F.R. § 106.8.

²¹ ADP reported \$20,162 in "Payroll" disbursements to Messina as follows: \$2,356 on 7/15/04, \$2,356 on 7/30/04, \$2,356 on 8/13/04, \$2,356 on 8/31/04, \$2,356 on 9/15/04, \$2,356 on 9/30/04, \$2,367 on 10/15/04, \$2,373 on 11/3/04 and \$1,286 on 11/09/04. The Knowles Committee reported \$32,042 in "Salary" disbursements to Messina as follows: \$2,337 on 6/16/04, \$2,200 on 7/2/04, \$2,200 on 7/16/04, \$2,200 on 7/30/04, \$2,200 on 8/13/04, 2,200 on 8/27/04, \$2,200 on 9/10/04, \$2,200 on 9/24/04, \$2,201 on 10/8/04, \$2,215 on 10/22/04, \$7,674 on 11/05/04 and \$2,215 on 11/5/04.

An article in Roll Call provided further detail regarding Messina's role:

(Footnote continues on following page)

28044191216

28044191217

1 Second, ADP's field offices appear to be party offices in name only, having been set up
2 primarily to serve Knowles, as indicated by the fact that the contact information for several offices
3 across the state appeared only on Knowles' website. Based on a review of archived web pages, no
4 references to regional ADP offices appeared on ADP's website during 2004, even though ADP
5 appears to have been paying for 80% of the rent and utilities. All nineteen individuals listed on
6 Knowles' website as contacts for the regional offices were on ADP's payroll, yet the information
7 below these names referenced only the Knowles campaign and included no references to the party
8 or to other candidates (e.g., "stop by our office anytime to learn more about Tony or to find out
9 how you can help get Tony elected").²² Despite ADP's assertion that the "field operation was . . .
10 designed to . . . build the party's permanent field operations for future elections," *see* ADP
11 Response at 2, all of the regional ADP offices appear to have shut down shortly after the
12 November 2004 election, following Knowles' defeat. Given these circumstances, it appears that
13 the regional offices were set up mainly to support Knowles' candidacy, and that ADP should have
14 attributed their costs (rent, utilities, etc.) accordingly.

Jim Messina has taken a leave of absence from his position as chief of staff to Sen. Byron Dorgan (D-N.D.) to serve as Knowles' Senate campaign director.

Messina joins longtime Knowles aide (and treasurer) Leslie Ridle in overseeing the Democrat's effort to unseat Sen. Lisa Murkowski (R).

(In an acknowledgement to how tight the race is expected to be – and the pivotal role it could play in determining which party controls the Senate – Dorgan was willing to let his chief head out to the Last Frontier, said an informed source.

....

"This is going to be an extraordinarily close race and we are very excited to have Jim here helping out," added Matt McKenna, spokesman for Knowles.

....

As campaign director, Messina will "lend his expertise to every facet of this campaign," McKenna said. Nicole Duran, *Knowles Taps Dorgan Chief For His Race*, ROIL CALL (June 8, 2004).

²² See <http://web.archive.org/web/20050719055755/http://www.tonyknowles.com/office_locations.html?office=Kenai&PHPSESSID=ce8bd9bbb0382967f6a7425af04094bc>.

28044191218

1 Third, regarding what is likely the largest share of program costs – staff salaries – it
2 appears that the field workers on ADP's payroll were functioning primarily as Knowles campaign
3 workers. As described *supra*, the treasurer of the Knowles Committee appears to have informed
4 supporters that ADP workers would be going door-to-door "to spread Tony's message" and asked
5 them to provide housing for the workers. Also, the recruitment flyer apparently created by ADP
6 reiterated the Committee treasurer's message about workers going "door to door to elect Tony
7 Knowles!" Although there is no information regarding the content of Knowles campaign literature
8 that may have been distributed by ADP workers or scripts that may have been used for phone bank
9 communications or door-to-door canvassing, news accounts suggest that the Knowles Committee
10 was the main beneficiary of the workers' activities.

11 Although Respondent generally describes the component activities of the field program, it
12 provides little detail supporting its position that each of these activities was primarily generic in
13 nature, or that the field program benefited other candidates. In reviewing the available information
14 (e.g., ADP recruiting flyers, photos of ADP offices, statements reportedly made by ADP workers)
15 there appear to be no references to any other candidates, whether federal or non-federal.²³ Other
16 than the unexplained reference in ADP's response to meetings with unnamed "legislative
17 candidates/campaigns," which it claims was part of its field program activities, there is little
18 information indicating that the program was aimed at benefiting any candidate other than Knowles.
19 Accordingly, it would appear that the 20% attribution figure used by ADP in connection with its
20 field program expenses was disproportionate to the benefit received by Knowles. See 11 C.F.R.
21 § 106.1(a)(1).

²³ Also, in contrast with the substantial party coordinated expenditures on behalf of Knowles as reported by ADP and DSCC, it does not appear that any such expenditures were made on behalf of ADP's Democratic nominee for the U.S. House of Representatives, Thomas Higgins (ADP reported no independent expenditures in 2004).

1 If the expenditures exceeding ADP's combined section 441a(d) and 441a(a)(2)(A) limits
2 were made "in cooperation, consultation, or concert, with, or at the request or suggestion of" the
3 Knowles Committee or its agents, an excessive in-kind contribution would result. See 2 U.S.C.
4 § 441a(a)(7)(B)(i); 11 C.F.R. § 109.20(a) and (b). For those activities that might be deemed
5 communications (e.g., ADP's telephone calls, see 11 C.F.R. § 100.28), the Commission has
6 promulgated separate regulations addressing "party coordinated communications." See 11 C.F.R.
7 § 109.37.²⁴

8 Although it is not clear at this time which ADP field program disbursements should be
9 considered party coordinated communications under 11 C.F.R. § 109.37, and which disbursements
10 for activities that are not public communications should be treated as coordinated expenditures
11 under 11 C.F.R. § 109.20, the available information suggests that some degree of cooperation or
12 consultation may have occurred. Despite the assertions of ADP's Executive Director that she
13 developed and oversaw the program and that "no field staff member was supervised directly by"
14 the Knowles campaign, there remain questions as to the role and involvement of Knowles'
15 campaign manager, who appears to have been receiving most of his salary from ADP while the
16 field program was fully operational in the summer and fall of 2004. In addition, the content of the
17 e-mail sent by the treasurer of the Knowles Committee, see *supra* at 3, suggests that she may have
18 coordinated some aspects of ADP's field program, such as mobilizing potential workers. For
19 example, the treasurer states that "we are asking" interns to work for ADP over the summer, and

²⁴ A party communication is coordinated with a candidate, an authorized committee or agent thereof if it meets a three-part test: (1) the communication is paid for by a political party committee or its agent; (2) the communication satisfies at least one of the "content" standards described in Section 109.37(a)(2); and (3) the communication satisfies at least one of the six "conduct" standards described in Section 109.21(d). In *Shays v. FEC*, 414 F.3d 76, 102 (D.C. Cir. July 15, 2005) (pet. for rehearing *en banc* denied Oct. 21, 2005), the appeals court affirmed a district court decision that invalidated the content standard of the coordinated communications regulation. The regulation remains in force pending the promulgation of a new regulation. *Shays v. FEC*, 340 F. Supp. 2d 39, 41 (D.D.C. 2004).

1 that if the recipients "know any interested students, please send them our way." Another ADP
2 worker – listed as a contact on an ADP flyer recruiting "supporters of Tony Knowles" to work on
3 the field program – appears to have used her <alaskademocrats.org> e-mail account to send out a
4 Knowles campaign press release. *See supra* fn. 4. Finally, there is no information concerning how
5 ADP may have attempted to ensure the independence of unreimbursed expenditures benefiting the
6 Knowles campaign.

7 Therefore, there is reason to believe that the Alaska Democratic Party and Marge
8 Kaiser, in her official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a(a)(2)(A), 441a(d),
9 441a(f) and 434(b).

10 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

28044191221

[illegible]

28044191222

28044191223

[illegible]

MUR 5564

Factual & Legal Analysis
Alaska Democratic Party

19

[illegible]

1. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 2000; 284: 2689-2694.

© 2006 The Authors
Journal compilation © 2006 Blackwell Publishing Ltd

Country	Year	Value
Algeria	2000	0.00
Algeria	2001	0.00
Algeria	2002	0.00
Algeria	2003	0.00
Algeria	2004	0.00
Algeria	2005	0.00
Algeria	2006	0.00
Algeria	2007	0.00
Algeria	2008	0.00
Algeria	2009	0.00
Algeria	2010	0.00
Algeria	2011	0.00
Algeria	2012	0.00
Algeria	2013	0.00
Algeria	2014	0.00
Algeria	2015	0.00
Algeria	2016	0.00
Algeria	2017	0.00
Algeria	2018	0.00
Algeria	2019	0.00
Algeria	2020	0.00
Algeria	2021	0.00
Algeria	2022	0.00
Algeria	2023	0.00
Algeria	2024	0.00
Algeria	2025	0.00
Algeria	2026	0.00
Algeria	2027	0.00
Algeria	2028	0.00
Algeria	2029	0.00
Algeria	2030	0.00
Algeria	2031	0.00
Algeria	2032	0.00
Algeria	2033	0.00
Algeria	2034	0.00
Algeria	2035	0.00
Algeria	2036	0.00
Algeria	2037	0.00
Algeria	2038	0.00
Algeria	2039	0.00
Algeria	2040	0.00
Algeria	2041	0.00
Algeria	2042	0.00
Algeria	2043	0.00
Algeria	2044	0.00
Algeria	2045	0.00
Algeria	2046	0.00
Algeria	2047	0.00
Algeria	2048	0.00
Algeria	2049	0.00
Algeria	2050	0.00
Algeria	2051	0.00
Algeria	2052	0.00
Algeria	2053	0.00
Algeria	2054	0.00
Algeria	2055	0.00
Algeria	2056	0.00
Algeria	2057	0.00
Algeria	2058	0.00
Algeria	2059	0.00
Algeria	2060	0.00
Algeria	2061	0.00
Algeria	2062	0.00
Algeria	2063	0.00
Algeria	2064	0.00
Algeria	2065	0.00
Algeria	2066	0.00
Algeria	2067	0.00
Algeria	2068	0.00
Algeria	2069	0.00
Algeria	2070	0.00
Algeria	2071	0.00
Algeria	2072	0.00
Algeria	2073	0.00
Algeria	2074	0.00
Algeria	2075	0.00
Algeria	2076	0.00
Algeria	2077	0.00
Algeria	2078	0.00
Algeria	2079	0.00
Algeria	2080	0.00
Algeria	2081	0.00
Algeria	2082	0.00
Algeria	2083	0.00
Algeria	2084	0.00
Algeria	2085	0.00
Algeria	2086	0.00
Algeria	2087	0.00
Algeria	2088	0.00
Algeria	2089	0.00
Algeria	2090	0.00
Algeria	2091	0.00
Algeria	2092	0.00
Algeria	2093	0.00
Algeria	2094	0.00
Algeria	2095	0.00
Algeria	2096	0.00
Algeria	2097	0.00
Algeria	2098	0.00
Algeria	2099	0.00
Algeria	2100	0.00
Algeria	2101	0.00
Algeria	2102	0.00
Algeria	2103	0.00
Algeria	2104	0.00
Algeria	2105	0.00
Algeria	2106	0.00
Algeria	2107	0.00
Algeria	2108	0.00
Algeria	2109	0.00
Algeria	2110	0.00
Algeria	2111	0.00
Algeria	2112	

28044191225

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

28044191226

[illegible]